G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references. Mrez 355—10 — 167

(1922 . Mrez.)

File No. 400 - 22

Serial Nos. 1—4

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for December 1923.

SUBJECT

Payment of interest on the endowment made by Mr Jagadisi narasimhachar for the conduct of certain Seva in melkote & Hemmige, to his nephew narasimharaghavachar

G.O. No. 835-6 dated 15.12.23

To the Revenue Commr & Comptr

The two nephews of the Donor be allowed to manage the charity and receive the payment of the Atiseshe amount from Government by turns in alternate years. —

G. R. S. III—1] 1922-23

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mny)

NOTES.

File No. 400-22

FILE HEADING.

Payment of interest on the endowment made by late Jagadesi Narasimhachar to for the conduct of certain sevas in Melkote & Hemmige, to his nephew Narasimha raghavachar.

From

The Comptr to Govt.

No. 4857 Dated 10-4-23 Received 12-4-23

Diary No. 1943	Enclos. 1	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests orders of Govt as to whether the interest on the endowment may be paid to Narasimharaghavachar, the nephew of the Donor, while according to the G.O accepting the endowt & the Donor's will, the ~~the~~ two nephews of the Donor should conduct the charities.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

D

In G.O. No. 2128-30/Mny 305-10 7 & 8-3-12 accepting the endowment of Rs 3600 made by Jagadesi Narasimhachar, it has been stated in para 6 that the interest on the deposit amount should be paid to the pre-

J. R. S III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

My File No. 400 of 1922

Serial No.

During his life time and after him to his nephews for the conduct of the services under the said officers.

2. The donor in his petition dated 26-12-10 has stated as follows:-

This means that the two nephews should manage the affairs by turns, one year each.

3. The two nephews of the donor are:-

(1) Rangachar, the donor's daughter's son adopted by Dikshit Rangachar, the brother of the donor and

(2) Narasimharaghavachar, the son of the daughter of Dikshit Rangachar, adopted by Venkatachar another brother of the donor.

4 The claimants Since the latter of the two nephews has claimed to draw the interest on the deposit, after the donor's death, the Comptr, not being satisfied with the enquiry instituted by the Amildar, states that he will not feel justified in paying the interest

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 400 of 1922

Serial No.

to only one nephew, when both have to manage jointly, or by turns, I am seeks the orders of Govt.

5 Under the circumstances reported by the Comptr, it is for orders as to whether the M. C. may ~~not~~ be asked to report ~~whether~~ why the other nephew Rangachar has not entered into the management and whether he has no objection to the interest on the endowment being paid to Varas inbaraghavachar only and to the latter managing the charities himself. This may cause some delay, but the Comptr may not be satisfied till he has some such justification for payment of ~~of~~ interest to the other nephew ~~also~~ alone as claimed by him.

SD 12.4.23 Sh 12/4/23

Enquiry may be made As suggested above TCJ 13/4/23

7. Draft subd.

SD 16.4.23 Sh 16/4/23

8. Dept

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 400 of 1922

Serial No.	
2	Nr 2716 dt the Mun. Comsr. Calls for a report as to why Rangachar, the other nephew of the Donor, has taken the charge in the management - dt
3	From the Muz: Comr., letter no R1 8-3 1 dt 22-23 dt 30-10-23 States that the other nephew Rangachar is not willing to allow the other nephew Narasimha Raghavachar to be the sole manager & that they may be allowed, as willed by the Donor, to manage jointly or severally by turns — 9. It will be seen from the preceding pages of this note that at the instance of the Compt., further information was called for from the Muz: Comr. - 10. It is now reported that the other nephew of the Donor Rangachar is not willing that Narasimha-Raghavachar should be allowed to be the sole manager of the charities, that he was allowed to do so till recently, as both of them were living together; and now that they have separated, he wants that they should, as willed by the Donor, be allowed to manage

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

jointly, or severally, by two of our [illegible] each. This has been referred to in para 2 of this note. The statement of Rs [illegible] to the above effect has also been furnished here.

11. A draft order is subd for sanction.

SD 14.11.23 BG 15/11/23

12 D Before ordering payment of Amt to Narasimhasrighavachar for the past years, it is necessary to make sure that the Seva was conducted satisfactorily by him, and whether the accounts mentioned in para 7 of the C.O of 8th March 1912 are being maintained and abstracts furnished to the Collr. It is stated in para 6 of the above order that the sums required for the Expenditure should be paid to the donor & his heirs. However it does not necessarily mean the whole sum due as arrears. It is therefore necessary that the accounts should be examined if possible with vouchers, before payment can be made. If appd, draft may be put up accordingly

13. E). As regards the proposal of the Mgr. Court that the two claimants may be

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. | of 192 .

Serial No.

heads jointly responsible, it is time that such an arrangement may lead to friction, trouble and inconvenience to the devotees which is that these two persons shall manage the affairs by turns of a year each and this seems to be a more suitable management, the person in charge for the year being made responsible for the proper rendering of accounts.

14. 3) It is only in the report of the Hemmige temple that the payment is to be made to the petitioner the sum of Rs 12-8-0 due with Mallikarjuna temple has to be credited with temple funds. It is not known whether the sums have been credited with funds of the two temples as ordered by Govt. The Comptr was to after to do so, if that has not already been done the papers point out that the payment to the petitioner is on the funds of the Hemmige temple, as the Comptr seems to refer to the whole Endowment in this letter

17.11.23

Rev. Secy)
15. [illegible]

17/11/23

19/11/23

4443
15.11.23

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8 22

G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 407 of 1927.

Serial No.

16. As Narasimha Bhagavathar has been managing the choultry (Endowment) and the Carnam Ramachandra Ayyar, Secretary to the Board Narasimhachar, has proposed in respect of the charges for the Sevas for the ensuing (Malayi) the Carnam Ayyar) may be made to have ~~the~~ effective Board's satisfactory work the Endowment Charities Services, as proposed [illegible] Plus and [illegible] 24 'Seri' for the future ~~from the year 24-25~~, for the [illegible] ~~increase~~ ~~in~~ ~~the~~ ~~amount~~ ~~of~~ ~~the~~ ~~Seri~~ ~~Ayyar~~ ~~Ayyar~~ ~~Ayyar

G. R. S. III 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 400 of 1922.

Serial No	
4	To the Muz. Commr. & Executive. Rs. 8:5-6 d. 15.12.23. Directs that the payment in respect of the Devas for the previous years from 1918 including the current year be made to Narasimhacharachar and. That in future the two nephews of the donor may be allowed to manage the previous payment by them.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0361—GPB—3750—1 8 22

WD 0759—GPB—8,000—17 3-27

Please address all future communications to the Comptroller to the Govt of H H the Maharaja of Mysore
In reply to this Comm please refer to
No ~~11354~~ SL. 4851/21 File

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER TO THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore the 10 April 192 2

409-22-1 8463

FROM

T SATYA~~RAJ~~ CHETTY Esq., B.A.,
Comptroller to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, Bangalore.

SIR,

Adverting to Government Order No.2128-30/Muz.F.355-10-7 dated 8th March 1912 sanctioning the acceptance of endowment made by Mr. Jagadesi Narasimhachar and permitting the interest to be paid thereon to the donor during ~~the~~ his life time and to his nephews thereafter, I have the honor to state that the donor is reported to have died, and one of his nephews by name Narasimharaghavachar who has been conducting the sevas ever since 1918 has now claimed the arrears of interest on the endowment. The Deputy Commissioner who was requested in this office letter No.2624 SL dated 1st June 1922 (copy enclosed) to institute an enquiry in respect of the claim of Narasimha raghavachar, has now forwarded the report of enquiry conducted by the Amildar stating that he (Narasimharaghavachar) has been actually conducting the sevas since 1918 out of his own money and that the amount claimed may be paid to him.

Both the Government Order accepting the endowment and the will executed by the donor require that interest should be paid to the two nephews after the death of the donor and the sevas should in

accordance with the will be performed alternately each year by the two nephews. From the Mahazar given by the villagers of Hemmige, it is seen that there are two brothers of the donor by name Venkatachar, and Dikshit Rangachar, who not having male issues themselves had made adoptions Dikshit Rangachar, adopting Rangachar the donor's own daughter's son, and Venkatachar adopting the petitioner Narasimharaghavachar the son of the daughter of Dikshit Rangachar. It is thus seen that Rangachar and Narasimharaghavachar are the two nephews of the donor. It appears to me that unless the reason for ignoring the claims of Rangachar who is more closely related to the donor than the petitioner, being the donor's own daughter's son, are clearly stated and a statement taken from him as to why he is not conducting the sevas either jointly with the other nephew as required by Government Order or alternately as per the will or that he gives up his claims on behalf of the other nephew, this office would not be justified in ordering payment to the petitioner on the authority of the Amildar's report of enquiry.

In these circumstances, I request you will be so good as to obtain the orders of Government in the matter at a very early date as the performance of the sevas is stated to have been stopped for want of funds.

The connected vernacular file of papers received with the Mysore Deputy Commissioner's letter No.590 dated 29th March 1923 is herein enclosed for reference and return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Comptroller.

CV/10-4

Letter No.2624 dated 1st June 1922 from the Comptroller in Mysore, Bangalore, to the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

With reference to your Memo No.590 dated 19-2-22 re, the interest on the endowment of Mr. Jagadesi Narasimhachar, I have the honor to state that the Amildar, T.Narsipur has stated in his report No.86 dated 20-1-22 that the amount of the bill will be credited to the funds of the institution and payment made to the rightful nephew after enquiry. In this office letters No.680 SL dated 23-12-21 and 1326/SL dated 18-2-22 it was requested that necessary enquiries may be instituted beforehand in respect of the claim of Mr. Narasimharaghavachar and the result intimated. As the report of the enquiry has not been sent to this office, I request you to arrange to institute the necessary enquiries and send a detailed report with your views in the matter. The bill and the file of correspondence are herewith returned.

" Copy "

T.A. Narasimhachar

Superintendent, Comptroller's Office,
~~Records~~ Section.
Statecourt.

CV/10-4

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 1963

Muzrai Secretariat

(Muz)

, *the* *192*

Section

File No. 4 8 57

Serial No.

No. 2715

one to one file

Date of issue 20.4.23

Signed by Mr. T.E.J. Ryan.

To

The Muzrai Comr. in mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith, a copy of letter no. Sl 4857, with its enclosure, ~~of verifications~~, received from the Comptr. to Govt. in the matter of the claim put forward by Narasimharaghavachar, nephew of Jagadeoi Narasimhachar, to draw the half-yearly

over.

2 interest on the endowment made by the latter for the conduct of certain sevas in Melkote and Kemmige; and to request that a report may be obtained and subd as to whether Rangachar, the other nephew of the donor, has ~~not~~ taken no part in the management of the charities and whether he has no objection to the half-yearly interest on the endowment being drawn by the other nephew Narasimharaghavachar alone and ~~also~~ to the charities being ~~then~~ managed by him -

I have etc

Secretary

Rev Dept.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Memo 2716 dy 20.4.23. Copy forwarded to the comptr. for information, with reference to his letter No - Sl. 48-57 dy 10-4-23.

WD 0563- GPB—2,500—27-7-22

By order

Secretary

Rev Dept

16.4.23

14687

C 1531 / 4225 one file

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Dated, Bangalore, the 29th October 1923.

From

K. Chandy, Esquire, B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, Muz. 400-22-

Bangalore.

T.E. Jayarama Aiyar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,



Secretary to the Government of His Highness

the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department,

Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.2715/M.F.400-22-2 dated 20th April 1923, enquiring as to why Rangachar, one of the nephews of the late Jagadesi Narasimhachar has taken no part in the management of the charities founded by the latter and whether he has no objection to the half-yearly interest on the endowment being drawn by the other nephew Narasimha Raghavachar, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of letter Ref.A.C.No.590/20-21 dated 27th September 1923 together with its enclosure received from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore - District, reporting that as the two nephews of the donor, viz., -- Rangachar and Narasimha Raghavachar, were living together till quite recently, the latter was allowed to manage the sevas himself and that as they have now separated, Rangachar is not willing to entrust the sole management of the sevas to Narasimha Raghavachar alone, as before.

In his statement dated 29th August 1923 before the Amildar, Rangachar has stated that the management of the sevas be entrusted to both of them and the interest on the endowment arranged to be paid to them jointly or separately to each by turn in alternate years.

2. Para 6 of Government Order No.2128-30/M.F.355-10-7 dated 8th March 1912 accepting the endowment directs, among other things, that the sums required for monthly expenditure will be drawn and paid to

to the petitioner (the late Jagadesi Narasimhachar) during his lifetime and after him to his nephews for the conduct of the services under the control of the Government officers.

In view of the above and of the desire now expressed by Rangachar to participate in the administration of the charity, I am of -- opinion that both the nephews may be entrusted with the management of the charity, both being held jointly responsible for the proper performance of the stipulated sevas and for the maintenance of accounts referred to in para 7 of Government Order dated 8th March 1912.

3. The accumulated interest on the endowment since 1918 will in the first instance be credited to the funds of the Melkote and Hemmige - temples in the proportion indicated in the Government Order of 8th March 1912 and payment made to Narasimha Raghavachar on production of satisfactory proof that the services have been regularly conducted. No joint-responsibility of the two nephews in respect of the interest previous years seems necessary, as both of them had lived together till now and the management of the sevas had been left to Narasimha Raghavachar alone.

4. The favour of very early orders is solicited.

I have the honor to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

D.N.

Letter No.A.C.590/20-21 dated 27th September 1923 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Muzrai Commissioner:--

- - -

"With reference to your memo No.C.1531 of 22-23 dated 23rd April 1923 forwarding Revenue Secretary's letter No.2715/Muz.400-22-2 dated 20th April 1923 for report as to why Rangachar, the other nephew of the donor Jagadeshi Narasimhachar has taken no part in the management of the charities founded by the above donor, etc., I have the honor to state that on enquiry it is ascertained that as the two nephews of the donor [viz., (1) Rangachar, above named, and (2) Narasimharaghavachar] were living together till quite recently, the latter (Narasimha Raghavachar) was allowed to manage the sevas himself and that, as they have now separated, Rangachar does not want to entrust the sole management of the sevas to Narasimha Raghavachar alone, as before. Rangachar now desires that the management of the sevas be entrusted to both of them, i.e., himself and the other nephew Narasimha Raghavachar, and the interest on the endowment also arranged to be given to both of them jointly, or separately to each by turn in alternate years.

Rangachar's statement dated 29th August 1923 before the Taluk Amildar, T.Narasipur, to the above effect, received with the latter's report No.26 dated 7th September 1923 (flag X and Z) is enclosed in the file.

The entire file of connected vernacular papers on the subject is herewith retransmitted."

- - - - -

C o p y.

Muzrai Commissioner.

Muz. 7]

SUBJECT.... .. ..

... .

.. ..

Diary No. of letter replied to.....518.....

Muzrai Secretariat

(Muz)

the *192* .

Date of issue ... 15.12.23 .....

Signed by. Sd. T. Ramaraya

SECTION Misc.

FILE No. 40-22

SERIAL No. .

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read— 1) G.O. No 2128-39 Muz 375-6 dated 28.3.1912 accepting the report of Rs 3600, ~~a favourable to the interest~~ offered by Jagadisa Narasimhachar of Jagalimohalla, Malavalli Taluk, for certain swarthas in the Sri Varadaraja-swami temple at Hemmige in the T. Narsipur Taluk and in the ~~Order Thereon No.~~ Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote.

2) Letter no. 4857 & CO-4-23 from the comptr to Govt stating that the donor is reported to ~~have~~

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette. English Part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

C.

be dead and that one of his nephews by name Narasimha raghavachar, who has been conducting the sevas since 1918, now claims payment of the arrears of interest on the endowment; and requesting orders of Govt as to whether payment may be so made, without the consent of the other nephew Rangachar, who is also to participate in the management, as per will of the donor and the orders of Govt abar gndo.

3) Correspondence ends with letter no. C. 1531 of 22-23 of 30-10-23 from the Mys: Comr in Mysore reporting that as the two nephews of the donor viz., Rangachar and Narasimha Raghavachar were living together till quite recently, the latter was allowed to manage the sevas himself and that as they have now separated, Rangachar is not

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

66—2000—GPB—29-7-22

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

willing to entrust the sole management of the affairs to Parasuveera. Raghavachar alone, as before, and that Rangachar has given a statement before the Auditor that the management of the affairs should be entrusted to both of them and the interest on the endowment arranged to be paid to them jointly, or separately to each of them in turns in alternate years.

15.12.23 Order:- 835-6 Due Out 400-22-4 file

It has been directed in para 6 of the G.O. read above that out of the half-yearly interest to be credited to the funds of Sri Varadarajaswami temple at Melmaige, the sums required for monthly expenditure should be drawn on bills and paid to the donor during his life-time and after him to his nephews for the conduct of the services, under the control of the Govt. officers. In para 7 of the same order, it has been ordered

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23 7-20

that regular accounts should be maintained and made available for inspection by Govt officers etc.

As Narasimhacharyulu has been managing the charities, evidently with the consent of his cousin Rangachar, since the death of the donor Narasimhachar, Govt direct that the payment in respect of the charges for the years for these years (including the current year) be made to him, after obtaining satisfactory proof of the conduct of the services; as proposed by the Mng. Comr in para 3 of his letter.

In future the two nephews of the donor may, according to the wishes of the donor, be allowed to manage the charity and receive payment of the stipulated amount from Govt by turns in alternate years commencing from 1924-25 in which year Rangachar will

G. R. S. G '33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form)

WD 2230—GPB—1,00,000—23-7 20

PROCEEDINGS OF THE COVERNMENT OF H.H. SRI KRISHNA RAJA

MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

****

Read----

(1) G.O.No.2128--30/Muz.355--10--7 dated 8th March 1912 accepting the endowment of Rs.3,600 offered by Jagadesi Narasimhachar of Jagalimolehundi Malavalli Taluk for cert in services in the --- Sri Varadarajaswami Temple at Hemmige in the T.Narasipur Taluk and in the Sri Narayanaswami Temple at Melkote.

2. Letter No.4851 dated 10th April 1923 from the ---- Comptroller to Government stating that the donor reported to be dead and that one of his nephews by name Narasimha Raghavachar, who has been conducting the sevas since 1918 now claims payment of the ---- arrears of interest on the endowment; and requesting orders of ---- Government as to whether payment may be so made without the consent of the other nephew Rangachar, who is also to participate in the --- management as per will of the donor and the orders of Government --- above quoted.

3. Correspondence ending with letter No.C.1531 of 22--2 dated 30th October 1923 from the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore reporting that as the two nephews of the donor viz., Rangachar and Narasimha Raghavachar were living together till quite recently, the latter was allowed to manage the sevas himself and that as they have now separated, Rangachar is not willing to entrust the sole management of the sevas to Narasimha Raghavachar alone, as before, and that Rangachar has given a statement before the Amildar that the management of the sevas should be entrusted to both of them and the interest on the endowment arranged to be paid to them jointly, or separately to each of them by turn in alternate years.

ORDER NO. MUZ.

Bangalore Dated December 1923.

It has been directed in para6 of the Government Order

read above that out of the half-yearly interest to be credited to th funds of Sri Varadarajaswami temple at Hemmige, the sums required fo monthly expenditure should be drawn on bills and paid to the --- donor during his life-time and after him to his nephews for the con- duct of the services, under the control of the Government officers. In para 7 of the same order, it has been ordered that regular ------ accounts should be maintained and made available for inspection by Government officers &c.

As Narasimharaghavachar has been managing the charities, efficiently with the consent of his cousin Rangachar, since the death of the donor Narasimhachar, Government direct that the payment in respect of the charges for the sevas for these years (including the current year) be made to him, after obtaining satisfactory proof of the conduct of the services, as proposed by the Muzrai --- Commissioner in para 3 of his letter.

In future the two nephews of the donor may, according to the wishes of the donor, be allowed to manage the charity and receive payment of the stipulated amount from Government by turns in alternate years commencing from 1924--25 in which year Rangachar will have his first turn.

For Secretary to Government

Revenue Department.

TO

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore
Returning the Vernacular Records.

The Comptroller to Government.